ISMAIL J. RAMSEY (CABN 189820) **United States Attorney FILED** 2 THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493) 3 Chief, Criminal Division Aug 07 2023 ALETHEA M. SARGENT (CABN 288222) Assistant United States Attorney Mark B. Busby 5 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Telephone: (415) 436-7200 SAN FRANCISCO FAX: (415) 436-7234 7 alethea.sargent@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for United States of America 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, **CASE NO. 23-mj-71076 MAG [PROPOSED]** DETENTION ORDER 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. 16 KYLE VEHIKITE, 17 Defendant. 18 19 On July 21, 2023, defendant KYLE VEHIKITE was charged by complaint with conspiracy to 20 engage in robbery affecting interstate commerce, in violation of Title 18, Section 1951(a). 21 This matter came before the Court on August 4, 2023, for a detention hearing. The defendant 22 was present and represented by John Jordan. Assistant United States Attorney Alethea M. Sargent 23 appeared for the government. The government moved for detention, and the defendant opposed. At the 24 hearing, counsel submitted proffers and arguments regarding detention. 25 Upon consideration of the facts, proffers and arguments presented, and for the reasons stated on 26 the record, the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of 27 conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community. Accordingly, the 28 defendant must be detained pending trial in this matter. (PROPOSED) DETENTION ORDER 1 v. 11/01/2018

23-mj-71076 MAG

The present order supplements the Court's findings and order at the detention hearing and serves

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

as written findings of fact and a statement of reasons as required by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3142(i)(1). The Complaint in this case alleges a highly coordinated and premeditated armed robbery of more than \$1 million in jewelry. It alleges the robbery was committed by seven suspects wearing hooded sweatshirts, ski masks and gloves. One drew a gun and pointed it at the sole security guard in front of the store; the other six entered the store, with one waving a gun at the employees and customers, demanding they get on the ground, while the remaining five smashed display cases with hammers and loaded items into duffle bags. The robbery was carried out in broad daylight in approximately one minute, after which the robbers entered the passenger doors of getaway cars that were waiting for them. The Complaint also alleges that several of the suspects had cased out the store a week prior to the robbery. This was such a sophisticated, orchestrated, brazen crime – essentially something right out of a movie – that every participant is a danger to the community, and it would be foolish to believe the defendant would comply with any conditions of release. This is also the type of alleged crime where the precise role the defendant played (e.g., driver of getaway car vs. wielder of a gun) is unimportant, as the planned use of firearms to threaten the security guard, employees and customers would have been anticipated by every participant. The intentionality, planning, organization, and willingness to threaten human life (or willingness to be part of a plan that the defendant knows will involve threats to human life) make this one of the rare cases in which "the nature and circumstances of the offense charged" (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(1)) make the defendant's unmitigable danger clear and convincing. This finding is made without prejudice to the defendant's right to seek review of defendant's detention, or file a motion for reconsideration if circumstances warrant it.

## Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The defendant be, and hereby is, committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
  - 3. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the government,

## Case 4:23-cr-00248-AMO Document 31 Filed 08/07/23 Page 3 of 3

the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to an authorized United States Marshal for the purpose of any appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 7, 2023

HONORABLE THOMAS S. HIXSON United States Magistrate Judge